

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/051,459	01/22/2002	Hans Beer	2265/50685	6980	
23911 7:	7590 08/11/2006		EXAMINER		
CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300			ALEXANDER, LYLE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20044-4300	_	1743		
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/051,459	BEER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lyle A. Alexander	1743	

		The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
TH	IE RE	PLY FILED 26 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.
1.	thi: pla a F	the reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice is application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment acces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal feet Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The representation	nt, affidavit, or other evidence, which e) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
	a) 🖂	ne periods: The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</u>	
	b) [forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
		Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEI TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	N THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
hav unc set ma	ve beer der 37 I forth in ny redu	ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CF in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding am CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for replin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee y originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a
	☐ Th	ne Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must he Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)) Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set fort	e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
<u> </u>		MENTS .	(2)
3. [(a)	he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because e NOTE below);
	(c)	They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materia appeal; and/or	lly reducing or simplifying the issues for
	(d)	They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of final NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	ly rejected claims.
4. [Tt	he amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of No	n-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
		pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	,
•	noi	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a sepa n-allowable claim(s).	•
7. [hov The	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq \text{ will not be entered, or b) \(\subseteq \text{ withe new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. \(\text{is estatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:} \)	will be entered and an explanation of
		aim(s) allowed: aim(s) objected to:	
	Cla	aim(s) rejected:	
4F		aim(s) withdrawn from consideration: VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
3. (bed	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the after some some presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	g a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered ffidavit or other evidence is necessary and
	ent sho	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to tered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a cowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presente	appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a d. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. RE	. 🔲 TI	he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims af ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ter entry is below or attached.
11.	. ⊠ TI <u>S</u>	he request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the applicat See Continuation Sheet.	ion in condition for allowance because:
12. 13.	_ □ N	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Particles:	per No(s)
			Lyle A Alexander
			Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1743

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant stated a photograph of the actual test results were supplied. Unfortunately, these photos were not scanned into the file. The Office contacted Applicant and was e-mailed the photos which are attached to this Office action. Applicant's 7/26/06 remarks describe figure 1 as the prior art and figure 2 as the instant invention. Applicant states these results are fully supported by the 2/10/06 Declaration. The photos appear to show different results are achieved in figures 1 and 2. The Office has not further considered these photos as they have not been timely or completely submitted. Declarations submitted after final are not timely. The submission appears to be incomplete becaue the text portion of the 1.132 Declaration to which these photos pertain is not attached. Additionally, it is not clear what conditions were used to achieve the depicted results. A future 1.132 Declaration must include the conditions of the filter dust removal that are commensurate in scope with the pending claims..